



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/439,608	11/12/1999	GARY LENNEN	59514/WEP/RS	1855

7590

08/14/2002

WILLIAM E PELTON  
COOPER & DUNHAM LLP  
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS  
NEW YORK, NY 10036

EXAMINER

KUMAR, PANKAJ

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2631

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/439,608

Applicant(s)

LENNEN, GARY

Examiner

Pankaj Kumar

Art Unit

2631

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 November 1999.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 7-16 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

**1. DETAILED ACTION**

**2. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112**

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

4. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

6. Regarding claims 15 and 16, they are incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: The second “generate” in the following phrase needs to be clearly linked with the object that causes generation: “ ... multiplying the quadrature baseband version of the GPS L1 signal with a locally generated version of a P-code used to generate the Y-code component ... to generate a first estimate signal related to the W-code used with the P-code to generate the Y-code component; ...”

**7. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102**

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

9. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

10. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2631

11. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Counselman USPN 4809005.

12. As per claim 1, an apparatus for tracking signals (Counselman fig. 7) comprising: a first tracker (Counselman fig. 7: 230) for tracking a first component (Counselman fig. 11: 874) of a first signal (Counselman fig. 7: 194) and for generating a first estimate signal (fig. 7: 228; "One bit C/A code local model 228 is a sequence of bit values representing the C/A code specific to GPS satellite 12. This sequence is output from C/A code generator 230 at times in accordance with the estimated arrival time of the C/A sequence from the GPS satellite to which C/A code generator 230 has been assigned by satellite assignment 41 from real time computer 40.") from a second component (Counselman fig. 11: 460 is derived from 194 and thus it is a component of 194) of the first signal (Counselman fig. 11: 194); a second tracker (Counselman fig. 10: 412) for tracking a first component (Counselman fig. 10: 222) of a second signal (Counselman fig. 10: from 220) according to the first estimate signal (Counselman fig. 10: 228 is the first estimate signal which is used in combination with other signals derived from 222 to determine input into 412); and the second component (Counselman fig. 11: 460) of the first signal has the same pattern (same pattern being that both inputs into the comparator are sinusoids) as the first component (Counselman fig. 10: 222) of the second signal.

13. As per claim 2, an apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the pattern comprises: a known pattern (Counselman fig. 11: 458) combined with an unknown pattern (Counselman fig. 11: 194).

14. As per claim 3, an apparatus according to claim 2, wherein timing information about the unknown pattern is known (Counselman fig. 11: 456 is  $t$  from 36 which effectively clocks 194).

15. As per claim 4, an apparatus according to claim 3, wherein: the first tracker (Counselman fig. 7: 230) generates a timing signal (Counselman "This sequence is output from C/A code generator 230 at times in accordance with the estimated arrival time of the C/A sequence from the GPS") in accordance with the timing information (Counselman fig. 11: 456:  $t$  from 36) for improving the accuracy of the first estimate signal (Counselman fig. 11: 228 is the first estimate signal whose accuracy is improved because of the described processing).

16. As per claim 5, an apparatus according to claim 2, wherein: the first tracker (Counselman fig. 7: 230) generates a first local component signal (Counselman fig. 11: 460) in accordance with the known pattern (Counselman fig. 7: 458) and combines the local component signal (Counselman fig. 11: 460) with a version of the first signal (Counselman fig. 7: 194) to generate the first estimate signal (Counselman fig. 11: 228).

17. As per claim 6, an apparatus according to claim 2, wherein: the second tracker (Counselman fig. 10: 412) generates a second local component signal (Counselman fig. 10: 414) in accordance with the known pattern (Counselman fig. 11: 458 is the known pattern which is supplied to fig. 10 in order to generate 414) and combines the second local component signal (Counselman fig. 10: 414) with at least one version of the second signal (Counselman fig. 10:

Art Unit: 2631

from 220 whose version is in 418 which is combined with 414 for 200) to generate at least one second estimate signal (Counselman fig. 10: 200).

**18. Allowable Subject Matter**

19. Claims 7-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

20. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

21. The art of record does not suggest the respective claim combinations together and nor would the respective claim combinations be obvious with the following underlined portions:

22. As per claim 7, an apparatus according to claim 6, wherein: the second tracker (Counselman fig. 10: 412) generates a timing signal (not in Counselman) in accordance with the timing information for improving the accuracy of the at least one second estimate signal.

23. As per claim 8, an apparatus according to claim 5, wherein: the second tracker (Counselman fig. 10: 412) combines the first estimate signal (not in Counselman) with the at least one second estimate signal to provide a tracking signal for tracking the first component of the second signal.

24. Claim 9 is allowable since claim 8 is allowable.

25. Claim 10 is allowable since claim 9 is allowable.

26. As per claim 11, an apparatus according to claim 2, wherein: the first signal is a GPS L1 signal; the second signal is a GPS L2 signal; the first component of the GPS L1 signal is a C/A-code component; the second component of the GPS L1 signal is a Y-code component; the first component of the GPS L2 signal is a Y-code component; the known pattern is a GPS P-code;

27. the unknown pattern is a GPS W-code;

28. As per claim 12, a method for tracking signals comprising the steps of:

29. locking (Counselman fig. 11: enable set) to a first component (Counselman fig. 11: 874) of a first signal (Counselman fig. 7: 194); aligning (not in Counselman) a local version of a second component (Counselman fig. 11: 460 is derived from 194 and thus it is a component of 194) of the first signal (Counselman fig. 11: 194) with the first component (Counselman fig. 11: 874) of the first signal (Counselman fig. 7: 194); aligning a local version of a first component of a second signal with the first component of the first signal; generating a first estimate signal from a version of the first signal and the local version of the second component; applying the first estimate signal for locking to a first component of the second signal; and wherein the second component of the first signal and the first component of the second signal comprise the same pattern.

30. As per claim 13, a method for tracking signals comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2631

31. locking (Counselman fig. 11: enable set) to a first component (Counselman fig. 11: 874) of a first signal (Counselman fig. 7: 194); aligning (not in Counselman) a local version of a second component (Counselman fig. 11: 460 is derived from 194 and thus it is a component of 194) of the first signal (Counselman fig. 11: 194) with the first component (Counselman fig. 11: 874) of the first signal (Counselman fig. 7: 194); aligning a local version of a first component of a second signal with the first component of the first signal; generating a first estimate signal from a version of the first signal and the local version of the second component; generating a second estimate signal from a version of the second signal and a local version of the first component of the second signal; combining the second estimate signal and the first estimate signal to generate a combined estimate signal; and selectively applying either the first estimate signal or the combined estimate signal for locking to a first component of the second signal; and
32. wherein the second component of the first signal and the first component of the second signal comprise the same pattern.

33. Claim 14 is allowable since claim 13 is allowable.

34. Claims 15 and 16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

35. As per claim 15, a method of semi-codeless tracking for a GPS receiver comprising the steps of:



Art Unit: 2631

36. receiving a GPS L1 signal (Counselman fig. 5) and generating at least a quadrature baseband version of the GPS L1 signal (Counselman fig. 5: 88,90; fig. 6: 88,90); receiving a GPS L2 signal (Counselman fig. 5,6) and generating baseband versions of the GPS L2 signal (Counselman fig. 6: 98,100); multiplying (Counselman fig. 12: 240) the quadrature baseband version of the GPS L1 signal (Counselman fig. 5: 88,90; fig. 6: 88,90) with a locally generated version of a P-code (Counselman fig. 12: 204) used to generate the Y-code component (Counselman fig. 12: 244, 247) of both the GPS L1 and L2 signals (fig. 12 applicable for both L1 and L2) to generate a first estimate signal (Counselman fig. 12: 252, 254) related to the W-code **used with the P-code to generate the Y-code component** (not in Counselman);

37. multiplying the in-phase baseband version of the GPS L2 signal with a locally generated version of the P-code to generate a second estimate signal related to the W-code used with the P-code to generate the Y-code component; adding the first W-code estimate signal to the second W-code estimate signal to generate a combined W-code estimate signal; applying the first W-code estimate signal to generate tracking signals for tracking when the GPS receiver has not locked to the GPS L2 signal; and applying the second W-code estimate signal to generate tracking signals for tracking when the GPS receiver has locked to the GPS L2 signal.

38. As per claim 16, a method of semi-codeless tracking for a GPS receiver comprising the steps of:

39. receiving a GPS L1 signal (Counselman fig. 5) and generating at least a quadrature baseband version of the GPS L1 signal (Counselman fig. 5: 88,90; fig. 6: 88,90); receiving a GPS L2 signal (Counselman fig. 5,6) and generating baseband versions of the GPS L2 signal

Art Unit: 2631

(Counselman fig. 6: 98,100); multiplying (Counselman fig. 12: 240) the quadrature baseband version of the GPS L1 signal (Counselman fig. 5: 88,90; fig. 6: 88,90) with a locally generated version of a P-code (Counselman fig. 12: 204) used to generate the Y-code component (Counselman fig. 12: 244, 247) of both the GPS L1 and L2 signals (fig. 12 applicable for both L1 and L2) to generate a first wide-band (Counselman fig. 12: noise not removed up through this stage and thus it is wideband) estimate signal (Counselman fig. 12: 252, 254) related to the W-code used with the P-code to generate the Y-code component (not in Counselman);

40. integrating the first wide-band estimate signal based on known timing information of the Y-code to generate a first narrow-band W-code estimate signal; multiplying the in-phase baseband version of the GPS L2 signal with a locally generated version of the P-code to generate a second wide-band estimate signal related to the W-code used with the P-code to generate the Y-code component; integrating the second wide-band estimate signal based on known timing information of the Y-code to generate a second narrow-band W-code estimate signal;

41. adding the first narrow-band W-code estimate signal to the second narrow-band W-code estimate signal to generate a combined W-code estimate signal; applying the first narrow-band W-code estimate signal to generate tracking signals for tracking when the GPS receiver has not locked to the GPS L2 signal; and applying the second narrow-band W-code estimate signal to generate tracking signals for tracking when the GPS receiver has locked to the GPS L2 signal.

Art Unit: 2631

**42. Conclusion**

43. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pankaj Kumar whose telephone number is (703) 305-0194. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday after 8AM to after 6:30PM.

44. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi H. Pham can be reached on (703) 305-4378. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

45. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

46.

47.

48. PK

49. August 7, 2002

  
CHI PHAM  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

